This paper reports an extensive characterization of the surface roughness generated during hard turning operations performed with conventional PCBN tools and during combination turning and grinding machining. Hard turning has been applied in many cases such as producing bearings, gears, axles and other mechanical components. The PCBN is widely used for finish machining of hardened steel parts (HRC 45–65). The special condition of dry hard cutting is the required cutting speed must be ranged from 90 to 180 m/min. After the hard turning and combination machining tests, the relevant changes of surface profiles and surface roughness parameters were successively registered and measured by a profilometer. Based on the experimental results, this paper shows and analyse the modification of the surface roughness parameters in case of hard turning and combination machining[1].
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**Introduction**

This work aims to investigate the machining of hardened steels using cubic boron nitride (CBN). The combining of processes in the machining of high-precision hardened workpieces is catching on. Since the introduction of the first combined turning and grinding center at Metav 1998 the new technology has found wide-ranging acceptance. One of the leading exponents is the Emag Group Experimental set-up, cutting parameter control is shown by Fig. 1. Table 1 contains the parameters of surface roughness and the tool edge geometry [2, 3].

**Table 1: Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a_p )</td>
<td>cutting depth (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>feed rate (mm/rev)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_c )</td>
<td>cutting speed (m/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_a )</td>
<td>arithmetical roughness (( \mu \text{m} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_m )</td>
<td>equivalent mechanical resistance (( \eta \text{m} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_z )</td>
<td>roughness (( \eta \text{m} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_q )</td>
<td>quadrotic roughness (( \eta \text{m} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_r )</td>
<td>rake angle (( ^\circ ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_r )</td>
<td>relief angle (( ^\circ ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB</td>
<td>flank wear (mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1: Experimental set-up, cutting parameter control [4]**

**Experimental conditions**

**Work pieces**

All the tests were carried out with parts machined under industrial. The cutting parameters were taken from the practice. The machined material was the steel DIN 20MnCr5, quenched to 62 HRC. The machined work piece was on gear with a cylindrical section with a diameter of 53 mm and length of 49.3 mm and the other gear was diameter of 60 mm and length of 45.3 mm.
Table 2 shows the chemical composition of the material [2].

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Composition</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.17/0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.15/0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.02/0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.035 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.15/0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>1.00/1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.020 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tool**

The inserts conformed to the ISO cod. Hard turning: conventional MITSUBISHI: NP-CNGA120408TA2 MB8025 (roughing) and the tool holder C5-PCLNR/L-17090-12 and smoothing wiper: NP-CNGA120408GSW2 MBC010 and the tool holder C5-PCLNR/L-17090-12.


It was employed a turn PVS Pittler, this equipment presents the stiffness and accuracy enough for the demands of the hard turning operations.

Combinated turn and grinding machine

Improved component and end product quality, as the workpiece is machined in a single setup, whereby the rough hard turning operation leaves a grind-finishing allowance of just 0.02 mm (relative to the diameter).

Compared to conventional grinding methods the minute grinding allowances required for the application of HDS-technology allow you to grind with a minimum of coolant and even dry, in which case there is no need for costly grinding sludge disposal measures [3].

**Surface roughness measurements**

After each individual test, part surface finish was measured with a stylus profilograph. In this investigation, a shop floor PGK 120 profilometer (Fig. 3) with a 5 μm diamond stylus radius was used. I used a special computer programs.

**Conclusion**

Significant difference could be found among the surface roughness number as the function of number of pieces on the workpieces surface machined by hard turning and by combined machining (Fig. 4). In case of hard turning significant fluctuation can be seen in the values of surface roughness, which makes uncertain the planning of the machined roughness. This manifestation can be disadvantageous in case of production certain machine elements. This can be explained by the tool wear mechanism of PCBN single point cutting tools, because the tool is machining on one point, and its geometrical errors can be copied into the workpieces. In spite of this in the case of combined machining roughness height mad by hard turning can be grind by the grinding wheel trueined at each worpiece (Fig. 5). So, the surface roughness to be produced can be regular, can be planned. Furthermore the micro-thread can be avoided which occurs in hard turning. However, hard turning can be pretend to quasy absolute environmentally friendly procedure for neglecting of coolants and lubricants, but because of fluctuation of surface roughness its change to combined machining is suggested, which involves a little load of environment.
Figure 4: Roughness parameters as a function of work pieces for different machining conditions
Figure 5: Characteristic surface profiles
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