MODELLING A THREE-PHASE CURRENT SOURCE INVERTER
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A current source inverter model has been developed in the given paper that is constructed from six LTI models for the different switching modes. The overall model is in a piecewise affine form that supports the use of model predictive control. The model has been verified against engineering expectations and its open-loop performance shows that it is a promising basis of model predictive control structures.
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1. Introduction

In industrial applications power electronic converters are divided into two major classes, namely voltage source (VSI) and current source inverters (CSI). As a VSI employs modulating the voltage wave through the inverter’s legs, its counterpart relies on modulated current waveforms. To operate a VSI the inverter’s switching devices should operate in a specified alternating modulation to avoid shorting the inverter’s legs, while its current source counterpart could embed this operation into the modulation. Thyristor rectifiers suffer from several drawbacks, e.g. high-input current harmonic distortion or a low power factor. Pulse width modulated current-source inverters, on the other hand, are free from the above-mentioned drawbacks. In such cases, a smoothing LC filter is placed on the AC side and a choke inductor DC side to reduce the harmonic injection resulting from the current modulating PWM operation. In the case of sinusoidal supply voltages, an input power factor with unit value can be achieved if both requirements are met. In conventional control structures, PWM-CSR is usually operated by off-line patterns and look-up tables like in trapezoidal modulation and selective harmonic elimination. The use of these techniques simplifies the calculation of the gate control signals with the special requirements of applied switches like IGBTs. Input filtering, on the other hand, may result in oscillatory transient behaviour. This can be attenuated by adding damping resistive elements, but using them also reduces the overall efficiency. Space vector modulation (SVM) has proven to result in a high voltage gain, reduced switching frequency and low current harmonic distortion, and could be straightforwardly implemented in digital systems [1]. In the second section, the structure of the investigated inverter is presented. In the next section, a detailed open-loop model is presented, and in the fourth section a predictive strategy is chosen for controlling SVM.

2. Inverter Structure

The current source inverter normally requires three-phase inductive and capacitive filtering ($L_r$ and $C_r$, respectively), improving the load current and voltage waveform’s harmonic distortion, and in thermistor appliances assisting the commutation of the switching devices. The legs of the device should include protective diodes, otherwise the absence of a current path introduces a high-voltage spike, causing damage to the switching devices [2]:

- The switching devices used are symmetrical, they do not require freewheeling diodes.
- The current source inverter produces a three-phase pulse-width modulated current instead of a pulse-width modulated voltage. As such, a capacitor needs to be installed on the output for filtering purposes. The high voltage derivative is associated with the VSI.
- The rate at which the DC current rises is limited by the DC choke, allowing sufficient time for the protection circuit to function in the case of a short circuit at the inverter output terminals.
- As a restraint, the DC link current cannot be instantaneously changed, which resulted in a reduction in dynamic performance.
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3. Open-Loop Switched Model with Space Vector Modulation

3.1. Space Vector Formulation

The switched model of the three-phase CSI supplying a three-phase load through an LC filter and RL load is shown in Fig.1. The LC filter is a low-pass filter included with the three-phase CSI to decrease the THD by eliminating higher order harmonics. The implemented LC filter includes a resistor \( R_f \) in series with a capacitor \( C_f \). This resistor provides a passive damping solution and it was recommended to be within the range of 0.5 to 1 \( \Omega \). Moreover, the resistor RDC represents any start-up pre-charging resistance or any resistance in series with the DC voltage source VDC. For constructing the model the following assumptions are made:

- The LC filter and the RL load’s circuit components are symmetric.
- Only a continuous conduction mode is assumed.
- Each time, the switch state index \( s \) is known.
- The switching devices used for modulation are assumed to be ideal, with zero losses and switching is considered to be instant.
- No external disturbance is assumed.
- No magnetic coupling between the inductances is assumed.

With the above assumptions, the equation system of the inverter, filter and load for all the switching states can be created, as different state-space models \([3, 4]\). Under the above-mentioned constraints, the three-phase current source inverter has nine switching states as can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zero States</th>
<th>( S_1 ), ( S_2 )</th>
<th>( S_3 ), ( S_4 )</th>
<th>( S_5 ), ( S_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( i_a )</td>
<td>( -i_{DC} )</td>
<td>( i_{DC} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_b )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( -i_{DC} )</td>
<td>( i_{DC} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_c )</td>
<td>( i_{DC} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( -i_{DC} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space vector</td>
<td>( I_1 )</td>
<td>( I_2 )</td>
<td>( I_3 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These switching states can be classified as zero switching states \((I_3)\) and active switching states \((I_1, ..., I_6)\). In addition, there are three zero states which implies vertical conduction of the inverter leg. This implies that the source is shorted, leading to \( i_a = i_b = i_c = 0 \). This operating mode is often referred to as the bypass operation. Assuming that the operation of the inverter is three-phase balanced then Eq.(1) applies

\[
i_a + i_b + i_c = 0 \tag{1}
\]

According to Fig.2, the five active vectors can be expressed as:

\[
I_k = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} I_{DC} e^{j(k-1)\frac{\pi}{3}}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., 6 \tag{2}
\]

The active and zero vectors are assumed to be stationary, on the contrary, the current reference vector \( I_{ref} \) in Fig.2 rotates with an angular velocity \( \omega = 2\pi f \), and \( \theta(t) = \int_0^t \omega(t) dt + \theta(0) \), where \( f \) is the fundamental frequency of the inverter output current and \( \theta \) is the angular displacement. A desired vectorial length and angle, \( I_{ref} \), can be calculated from the neighbouring space.
of space vectors in SECTOR I are defined in vectors where and from the zero vector for adjusting the length. 

The dwell time for stationary vectors represents the time that space vectors spend in a sector during a cycle based on the fundamental frequency. As , and are the active vectors, is equal to the sum of the sampled current vectors multiplied by the time interval of chosen period. With this notion, the reference current is approximated from the nearest vectors corresponding to the actual sector and from the zero vector for adjusting the length.

The ampere-second balancing principle is given by

where , , and denote the dwell times of the vectors , , and . From Eq.(2), the individual values of space vectors in SECTOR I are defined in Eq.(4):

where is the angular displacement and the Cartesian coordinate system represents the imaginary and real axes. The active vectors form a symmetric hexagon with six identical sectors, while the zero vector lies at the center of the hexagon.

Projecting the resulting complex vector onto the real and imaginary axes leads to:

Solving Eqs.(3) and (5) yields the dwell times , , and at any given angle as shown in Eq.(6):

where is the modulation index in the domain: 

When is inside of other sectors a multiple of is subtracted from so that the modified angle happens to fall within the range of for use in Eq.(7):

where is the sector index. This method saves the need for implementing unnecessary calculations and code capacities.

3.3. Switching Sequence

As far as designing the switching pattern of the SVM for the CSI a few notions should be specified for switching loss reduction:

- The state transition should involve the least amount of switches (one switch is desired).
- Minimum amount of switches should be involved as transits from the current to the next state.
are needed. Hence, linear differential equations for the state variable \( x = [i_{dc} \ y_{pv} \ b_{dc} \ r_{dc}]^T \), input variable \( u = V_{dc} \) and output variable \( y = [i_k \ i_d]^T \) can be derived using KVL and KCL. Arranging the expressions for the aforementioned state variables and recognizing \( V_{dc} \) as the input of the system, yields the following state-space matrices for the zero switching state as shown in Eqs.(10)-(12):

\[
A_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{R_{dc}}{L_{dc}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{q_a} & 0 & -\frac{R}{q_a} & \frac{R}{q_a} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{q_a} & \frac{R}{q_a} & -\frac{2R}{q_a} \\
0 & \frac{2R}{q_c} & \frac{R}{q_c} & q_b & 0 \\
0 & \frac{R}{q_c} & \frac{R}{q_c} & 0 & \frac{q_b}{q_a}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{L_{dc}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( Q_a = C(3R + R_s), Q_b = RR_i + 3RR_i + R_iR_i \) and \( Q_c = (3R + R_i)(L_t + L_s) \).

### 3.5. Open-Loop Performance

As CSI exhibits different LTI regions for different state matrices \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_6 \) according to the used space vector \( I_s, I_t, \ldots, I_s \) in the corresponding dwell time, the piecewise affine approach (PWA) can be used to describe the overall dynamics. The discrete time piecewise affine model can be obtained by discarding the set of continuous affine models in the form of Eq.(13)

\[
x_{p+1} = \begin{cases} 
\Phi_1 x_p + \Gamma u_p, & \text{if } [u_{1}] \in P_1 \\
\vdots \\
\Phi_6 x_p + \Gamma u_p, & \text{if } [u_{6}] \in P_6 
\end{cases}
\]

Such systems are composed of \( s \) local affine models whose parameters in \( (\Phi, \Gamma) \) change according to which polyhedron \( P_i \) contains the state vector.

The simulated waveforms for \( V_{dc} = 400 \text{ V DC} \) link with pre-chARGE resistance \( R_{dc} = 1 \Omega \) and choke inductance \( L_{dc} = 2e^{-1} H \) using the space vector modulation as shown in Fig.5, where \( i_s \) denotes the PWM current of the inverter and \( i_s \) stands for the phase current through the load. The inverter operates at a fundamental frequency of \( f = 50 \text{ Hz} \). The filter capacitor \( C = 20e^{-6} F \), the filter inductance \( L_s = 10e^{-3} H \) with filter resistance \( R_s = 1 \Omega \) and \( R = 1 \Omega \) representing losses. The inverter consists of three-phase balanced inductive load \( L_s = 2e^{-3} \) and resistive load \( R_s = 5 \Omega \). The open-loop performance can be observed in Fig.4 and the modulation and PWM formulation in Fig.5.
4. MPC assisted SVPWM

The aim of the previous sections was to develop a model for the inverter that describes the switching behaviour of the dynamics. The obtained piecewise affine model gives a good basis for the model predictive control technique that is advantageous from two points of view: the possibility of introducing constraints to the state and input variables and the fact that optimal control problems can be formulated within it.

The aim is to find the optimal space vectors in such a way that the error between the predicted states of the inverter and the chosen reference values are minimized with respect to constraints. Previously, the method of model predictive control was reserved only for applications with relatively slow time scales, like plants and chemical processes. The reason for this is that MPC requires an optimization problem to be solved at each sampling instant in order to obtain the control input. Over the past decade, more and more applications use fast MPC applications, thanks to the advances in computer technology. The optimization problem is often NP hard, and finding a solution is taxing in terms of the calculation time of the control device, thus limiting the rate at which the control could intervene with the application. In particular, model predictive control has been applied in the field of power electronics, where the control frequency ranges from a few hundred Hz up to a few MHz [5,6]. The main challenge of implementing MPC consists of solving the associated optimization problem in a bounded time.

The predicted values are assumed to be constant after time \( k \). Then, the control problem can be formulated as follows:

\[
\min J(x[k]) \\
s.t. x[k] = x[k|k] \\
J(x[k]) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} || Q( x[k+j|k] - x_{ref}(k+l) ) ||^2 
\]

(14)

where \( x[k+j|k] \) denotes the prediction of the state at time \( k+j \) based on the information available at time \( k \). \( N \) is the prediction horizon, \( Q \) is the constant weighting. The optimal state-finding algorithm follows:

\[
\text{OptimalError} = \inf_{s = 1 \ldots 7} \text{for } \begin{bmatrix} x(1) & x(2) & \ldots & x(N) \end{bmatrix}^T = \\
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
A_s & A_s & \ldots & A_s & \ldots & A_s & \ldots \\
B_s & B_s & \ldots & B_s & \ldots & B_s & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_s^{N-1}B_s & A_s^{N-2}B_s & \ldots & B_s & B_s & \ldots & B_s \\
\end{bmatrix} + \\
\begin{bmatrix} u(0) \\
u(1) \\
\vdots \\
u(N) \\
\end{bmatrix} \times (0) \\
\end{bmatrix} 
\]

Figure 4. Three-phase sinusoidal waveform of the CSI output currents \( i_A, i_B, \) and \( i_C \) with a sample period of \( T_s = T/36 \) and a modulation index of \( m_a = 0.9 \). The output wave can be smoothened by adding higher filter parameters or further reducing the sampling period.

Figure 5. Comparison of the inverter output current \( i_A \) and the filtered load current \( i_R \) with \( T_s = T/36 \) and \( m_a = 0.9 \).
Errors = J_s(x)

if Error_s < OptimalError then
    OptimalError = Error_s
    Optimal_s = s

where OptimalError represents the minimal error value, Error_s is the actual error value in each iteration, and Optimal_s is the optimal state. The algorithm uses a parallel implicit MPC scheme for every state. Since there are only seven states, with a low horizon the algorithm can be feasibly implemented. When \( f = 50\text{Hz} \), \( I = 37.5\text{A} \), and \( N = 2 \), deviations from the optimal trajectory are present during optimization as shown in Fig. 6, but they are still acceptable in terms of harmonic distortion. The performance observed in Fig. 7 can be compared to the open-loop solution. It can be stated that due to the rigid structure of the open-loop modulation’s rigid structure the dynamical properties of the MPC-assisted system exhibit better performance in terms of time response and signal shape.

5. Conclusion

A current source inverter model has been developed in this paper that is constructed from six LTI models for the different switching modes using SVPWM modulation. The obtained overall model is in a piecewise affine form that supports the use of model predictive control in the future. The model has been verified against engineering expectations and its open-loop performance shows that it is a promising basis of further work in terms of identification and control. As the next step, a model predictive control synthesis was performed based on the technology-driven optimality criteria to assist the open-loop SVPWM modulated system. Due to the implicit MPC-assisted modulation the optimal space vector could be found and utilized in each state over an acceptable timescale due to the low horizon. As such a significantly lower time response and better signal shape was achieved.

SYMBOLS

- A: state matrix
- B: input matrix
- C: output matrix
- \( C_f \): filter capacitance
- \( f \): fundamental frequency
- \( \Phi \): discrete state matrix
- \( \Gamma \): discrete input matrix
- \( I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_6 \): space vector
- \( i_A, i_B, i_C \): inverter output currents per phase

Figure 6. MPC reference tracking with \( f = 50\text{Hz} \), \( I = 37.5\text{A} \), and \( N = 2 \). In order to create the simplest implicit MPC for a finite state PWA environment, every affine state was tested with the according horizon in every iteration.

Figure 7. Comparison of classic SVPWM and MPC on the same system. The SVPWM is applied with a modulation index of 0.9, and the MPC reference tracking with \( f = 50\text{Hz} \), \( I = 37.5\text{A} \), and \( N = 2 \).
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