1. Introduction

Hydrogen sulphide is a highly toxic, acidic and corrosive substance. It is present naturally in landfills, natural and biogases, as well as in several synthesis gases. One of its main anthropogenic sources is the processing of crude oil in industrial refineries, where hydodesulfurization (HDS) of a variety of streams (e.g. engine fuels) produces hydrogen sulphide-containing gas mixtures, which need to be purified. The economic removal of hydrogen sulphide is a long-standing task of the oil and gas industry. Adsorptive separation involves the use of solid substrates with a specific affinity with particular components of the mixture. Zeolites have been applied as catalysts in the petrochemical industry for a relatively long time and these materials can also be used for purification/separation purposes. Zeolites are crystalline aluminosilicates consisting of a three-dimensional framework of SiO$_4$ and AlO$_4$ tetrahedra of a highly regular porous structure [1]. The typical size of zeolitic micropores is similar to that of many small molecules. In contrast to various other adsorbents, zeolites generally endure high temperatures and pressures well, and can tolerate harsh chemical environments. The Si/Al ratio is a key factor in the application of zeolites. Zeolites with lower Si/Al ratios are more hydrophilic, whereas high-silica zeolites often possess fewer structural defects. These latter adsorbents are preferred in the separation of non-polar gases.

Zeolite NaA is a synthetic microporous zeolite which accommodates extramolecular Na$^+$ ions. It exhibits an especially high affinity with small polar molecules such as water. The adsorption and separation properties of zeolite NaA have already been examined in several experimental [2-6] and theoretical/simulation [7-16] works. In our laboratories, the adsorption characteristics of zeolite NaA and its performance as a drying agent by classical atomistic simulations [10, 12-14] were studied, and new intermolecular potential models for this zeolite [12-14] proposed. Our models were optimized for the study of the selective adsorption of water from its mixtures with less polar or non-polar molecules like simple alcohols, carbon monoxide, hydrogen and methane.

In this paper, the selective removal of hydrogen sulphide by zeolite NaA is investigated. Molecular simulation predictions for mixture adsorption from two- and three-component gas mixtures containing hydrogen sulphide (H$_2$S), hydrogen (H$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$) are presented.

2. Models and simulation details

Zeolite NaA [17-18] is of LTA framework type, the structure of which belongs to the Fm-3c space group with a lattice parameter of 2.4555 nm. The three-dimensional cubic arrangement of its framework atoms is comprised of three kinds of rings with four (4R), six (6R) or eight (8R) oxygen atoms. The interconnection of 4R and 6R rings forms nearly spherical cages (sodalite cages) and these cages are linked by oxygen bridges, shaping straight channels of supercages with a maximum diameter of about 1.2 nm. The standard type of zeolite NaA has a Si/Al ratio of 1.

In the present study, the unit-cell composition of the standard type of zeolite NaA was chosen: it consists...
energy parameter $\varepsilon_{ij}$ to the relative sizes of atoms $i$ and $j$ and yields somewhat smaller values for the parameters $\varepsilon_{ij}$ and $\sigma_{ij}$ when $\sigma_{i} \neq \sigma_{j}$. Song et al. [24] found that the experimental thermodynamic properties of pure methane can be reproduced more accurately using the Waldman-Hagler combining rule.

Gas adsorption simulations were carried out by the standard grand canonical Monte Carlo methodology [25]. Total pressure $p$ and partial pressures in the gas phase were specified by the chemical potentials of the components; in a diluted gas, these can be calculated from the ideal gas law [12]. The long-range Coulomb interactions were treated with the Wolf method [26-27] using a convergence parameter of $\alpha = 2/r_c$ and cutoff radius of $r_c = L/2$ ($L$ is the length of the simulation box). The simulations involved an equilibration period of $5 \times 10^7$ Monte Carlo moves and an averaging period of $2 \times 10^8$ moves, consisting of 70% molecular insertion/deletion and 30% molecular translation steps. Since the random insertion of molecules is unable to take into account the inaccessibility of the sodalite cages by multiatomic molecules (the physical diffusion pathways to them), creation of H$_2$S and CH$_4$ molecules inside these cages was blocked artificially by placing repulsive dummy atoms at the centres of the cages. As H$_2$ molecules are sufficiently small to pass through the windows of the sodalite cages, the insertion of H$_2$ molecules into these cages was permitted. In either case, the transition of molecules into sodalite cages via translational trial moves was not artificially prevented.

In addition to the adsorption loading, the isosteric heat of adsorption was calculated using the equation:

$$ q = \left( \frac{\partial H_b}{\partial n^a} \right)_{b,T} - \left( \frac{\partial U^a}{\partial n^a} \right)_{V,T}, $$

where $H_b$ and $U^a$ stand for the residual enthalpy and residual internal energy, respectively, $n$ is the number of moles of the substance in the adsorbed ($a$) or bulk ($b$) phases. In the grand canonical ensemble, the second part of the equation can be determined from the particle number fluctuations of the simulation and the cross-correlation of potential energy and particle number fluctuations [28-29]. Assuming the ideal gas adsorbates, the first part of the equation is equal to $RT$, where $R$ is the gas constant and $T$ is the temperature.

Predictions for mixture adsorption were also made using the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) [30-31], which is an analogue of the ideal Raoult’s Law. Using IAST, mixture adsorption loadings at a given $T$ can be obtained from single-component adsorption loadings by determining the bulk pressure of each component $p_o$ at the same spreading pressure $\pi$ of the adsorbed phase:

$$ y_i^o = \frac{p y_i}{p_o^o(\pi)}. $$

Table 1. Lennard-Jones energy ($\varepsilon$), size parameters ($\sigma$) and partial charges ($q$) for the models used in this work ($d$ is the bond length, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant).
Here, \( y_i \) is the mole fraction of component \( i \), and \( p_i^o(\pi) \) is given implicitly by:

\[
\pi(p_i^o) = \frac{RT}{A} \int_0^{p_i^o} n_i^o(p) d\ln p ,
\]

where \( A \) is the surface area of the adsorbent.

### 3. Results and discussion

The intermolecular potential models were tested by determining equilibrium adsorption isotherms for pure \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \), \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) on zeolite NaA. Experimental data at 298 K are available for \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) [32] and \( \text{H}_2 \) [8] and nearly room-temperature (\( T = 283 \) K) data for \( \text{CH}_4 \) were taken from [33]. Fig.1 shows that the models are largely able to reproduce the experimental adsorption data for these substances. The reproduction of the experimental isotherm is quite good for \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) and \( \text{H}_2 \). In the case of \( \text{CH}_4 \), the extent of overestimation of the experimental results at 283 K is considered acceptable, given that the availability of transferable zeolite models that are appropriate as far as adsorption predictions are concerned for both polar and non-polar compounds is rather limited [16]. Furthermore, it is expected that the observed discrepancies between simulation and experimental results for this non-polar component are unable to cause significant errors in terms of mixture adsorption data, where the \( \text{CH}_4 \) content of the gas phase is low and the adsorption of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) is dominant.

The calculated isosteric heat of adsorption data together with available experimental results for \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) [5] are also plotted in Fig.1. The pressure-dependence of these data is weak. The general order of \( q_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} > q_{\text{CH}_4} > q_{\text{H}_2} \) is in line with expectations, bearing in mind that the isosteric heat of adsorption at low loadings proves the strength of interaction between the zeolite framework and the adsorbate molecules. \( q \) values are considerably higher for polar \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) than for non-polar substances and the order of magnitude of the former indicates the significance of electrostatic interactions. The relation of \( q_{\text{CH}_4} > q_{\text{H}_2} \) can be attributed to the greater polarizability of \( \text{CH}_4 \) molecules (this is implicitly included in the attracting Lennard-Jones terms of the potential model), and to the explicitly modelled real quadrupole moment of \( \text{H}_2 \) molecules is very weak. Considering the greater uncertainties of these simulation results and that the experimental data for \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) were obtained for zero coverage within a given temperature range, these simulation results also confirm the suitability of the models used in this study.

Equilibrium adsorption selectivities were predicted for typical hydrosulfurization stream outlets of petroleum refinery units separated by zeolite NaA at near-atmospheric pressures. The studied gas streams were comprised of between 1 and 2% \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) and ~95% \( \text{H}_2 \); the remaining hydrocarbon content (low alkanes) was represented by the presence of \( \text{CH}_4 \) in the model mixtures. For comparison, other compositions including very low and reasonably high \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) contents, as well as low pressure ranges were also investigated. The raw simulation results for the \( \text{H}_2\text{S}-\text{H}_2 \) mixtures in comparison with IAST predictions shown in Fig.2 illustrate well the dissimilar levels of adsorption of the two substances, with the exception of the nearly zero \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) contents of the bulk mixture. The IAST calculations underestimate the simulation results for \( \text{H}_2 \) at higher pressures and on the whole overestimate the simulation results for \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) at lower pressures (for visual reasons, data obtained within the very low pressure range are not presented in this figure). The most accurate estimations were achieved at 10 kPa, which is an impractical parameter for the present applications. Strictly speaking, the hypothesis of IAST which states that the different adsorbate molecules have access to the same adsorbent surface cannot be applied to microporous adsorbents such as zeolite NaA, where the accessible surface area depends on the size of the adsorbate. In light of this, the IAST predictions can be considered to be remarkably accurate.

---

*Figure 1. Equilibrium adsorption loading (a) and isosteric heat of adsorption (q) as a function of the bulk-gas pressure for pure hydrogen sulphide (a), hydrogen (b) and methane (c) on zeolite NaA at the temperatures indicated. The statistical uncertainties of the simulations results do not exceed the size of the symbols. The lines connecting simulation data at 298 K are only drawn to guide the eyes.*

*sim.: simulation data; exp.: experimental data.*
since the competitive effect but the selectivity values are smaller. This makes sense of three larger molecule, H₂.

That the change with pressure is less intense at lower loadings. At higher pressures the separation efficiency calculated from... 

The calculated equilibrium selectivities are defined as:

\[ S = \frac{n_{H_2S}^a/n_{H_2S}^b}{n_j^a/n_j^b}, \]  

where \( n_j \) stands for the equilibrium number of moles of H₂ in the investigated two-component mixtures or the sum of the equilibrium numbers of moles of H₂ and CH₄ in the three-component mixtures, as plotted in Fig.3. On the whole, this zeolite exhibits an exceptional level of selectivity of H₂S to the other substances; this is not surprising given the significant differences between the equilibrium adsorption loadings of the pure components (cf. Fig.1). In the case of the two-component gas mixtures, the tendency of the data satisfies the criterion that at the low-pressure limit the selectivity as defined here should be independent of the composition of the bulk-gas mixture (it is the quotient of the ratio of the single-particle partition function of the two substances in the adsorbed phase and the ratio of their free-particle partition functions [34-35]). Because of technical reasons, at lower pressures the uncertainties of the selectivity data are relatively large as these data are calculated from simulation results at very low zeolite loadings. At higher pressures the separation efficiency of this zeolite is somewhat weaker. This and the fact that the change with pressure is less intense at lower H₂S contents suggest the existence of a ‘crowding’ effect, which inhibits more strongly the sorption of the larger molecule, H₂S. In the case of the investigated three-component mixtures, the overall picture is similar, but the selectivity values are smaller. This makes sense since the competitive effect of the additional component, CH₄, for the adsorption sites is stronger. Yet, the values far in excess of 1000 obtained for the typical hydrodesulfurization streams (1-2% H₂S and ~95% H₂) are compelling.

From the mixture adsorption data, once again it was verified that electrostatic effects control the adsorbent-adsorbate interactions with this zeolite, which implies that the amount of adsorption of pure H₂ and CH₄ should always be small. Adsorbed mole number data showed that the presence of the non-polar components does not affect the sorption of H₂S in the adsorbed phase. This conclusion is also supported by the heat of adsorption data (not presented) calculated by assuming one-component mixtures (i.e. using Eq.(1)). These data turned out to be simply the amount-weighted average of the \( q \) values of pure components and are very close to \( q_{H_2S} \) at the given pressure. On the other hand, the degree of adsorption of the non-polar substances is reduced by the presence of H₂S. Selectivities under real conditions (at 50, 100 and 200 kPa and with realistic H₂S contents; 1, 2 and 5%) shown in Fig.4 make this fact obvious. Here, \( S \) values obtained from mixture adsorption simulations significantly exceed their counterparts calculated for an ideal case of independent adsorption (i.e. by substituting into Eq.(4) the pure-component adsorption loadings determined at pressures that are equal to the partial pressures of the mixture components). Extensive non-ideality in the adsorbed phase can also be seen from the comparative failure of IAST (which utilizes the assumption that the adsorbed mixture is an ideal solution) to predict the simulation results accurately at near-atmospheric pressures.

It is remarkable that the selectivity of H₂S to the two non-polar substances decreases as the temperature and partial pressure of H₂S in the bulk gas increase. As the adsorption loading of the zeolite rises, steric hindrance plays an increasingly important role, and sorption of the larger H₂S molecules reduces to a greater extent. The impact of an increase in temperature is as expected, e.g. from the higher \( q_{H_2S} \) values, but the magnitude of decrease in selectivity with temperature changes significantly as a function of the partial pressure of H₂S. Data lines at the two investigated temperatures seem to converge to similar values at higher partial pressures, because the drop in the sorption of H₂S as the temperature increases already becomes
less significant at higher loadings. The two panels of Fig. 4 also illustrate the above-mentioned difference between the data of the binary (a) and ternary (b) mixtures, i.e., the numerical values are smaller for the ternary mixtures. Besides this, the scatter of the points is larger in panel b, because the ratio of $n_{\text{H}_2}$ to $n_{\text{CH}_4}$ in the bulk gas unavoidably changes as the partial pressure of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ increases (for compositions, see Fig. 3). Finally, panel c in Fig. 4 illustrates the influence of adsorbate-adsorbate attraction on the adsorption characteristics. It was simulated by eliminating the Coulomb potential and the attractive part of the Lennard-Jones potential, but retaining its soft-sphere repulsion potential component, when calculating the instantaneous adsorbate-adsorbate pair interactions in the adsorbed phase. The observed reduction in $n_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ and selectivity is sizable enough to establish that like-like attraction is an important factor in the adsorption of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$.

4. Conclusion

In this work, molecular simulation predictions for the adsorption of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ from simple non-polar gas mixtures of technological interest ($\text{H}_2$-desulfurization stream outlets in petroleum refinery units) on zeolite NaA were presented. The realistic all-atom intermolecular potential models adopted for the computations were validated by comparing the calculated isotherms of the pure substances with available experimental adsorption data. What is especially noticeable here is the matching of the experimental and simulated adsorption loadings for $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ that was achieved. The investigated zeolite exhibited a remarkable ability to capture $\text{H}_2\text{S}$, from either binary or ternary mixtures with non-polar gases, namely $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{CH}_4$. The interactions between the polar $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ molecules and the hydrophilic zeolite framework were found to be particularly favourable, and the mixture-adsorption loadings for $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ essentially agreed with the corresponding pure component loadings (with the exception of the very low $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ contents of the bulk-gas mixture). The reverse is true when considering the adsorption of the non-polar gaseous components under technological conditions (at near-atmospheric pressures and with a small proportion of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ in the bulk); their bindings to the inner surface sites of the zeolite were suppressed by $\text{H}_2\text{S}$. These results can be of practical importance in terms of selectivity. Selectivities of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ to the non-polar substances were generally higher at lower $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ partial pressures in the bulk gas, and well over 1000 for the range of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ contents of the typical hydrodesulfurization streams. The obtained order of magnitude of the isosteric heat of adsorption data and the large decrease in selectivity with increasing temperature suggest that electrostatic interactions play a more pronounced role in the selective removal of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ by zeolite NaA and the effect of size has only a limited impact. In association with this, it was also revealed that $\text{H}_2\text{S}$-$\text{H}_2\text{S}$ attraction contributes to the preferred adsorption of this substance.
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